

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 15 2007

LAW OFFICES  
**GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C.**  
PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT PRACTICE  
303 DETROIT STREET  
SUITE 300  
ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48104-1144

---

(734) 913-9300  
FACSIMILE (734) 913-6007  
jposa@patlaw.com  
dwathen@patlaw.com  
mbuncroft@patlaw.com  
jstaple@patlaw.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE: March 15, 2007

TO: EXAMINER W. YIP

FACSIMILE NO.: 571-273-8300

FROM: John G. Posa


PAGES TRANSMITTED (INCLUDING COVER SHEET): 9

ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS WILL \_\_\_\_ / WILL NOT XX FOLLOW BY MAIL

RE: SN 09/597,318

MESSAGE:

Information contained in this facsimile may be PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL. It is intended only for the use of the person or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is neither intended nor permissible. If this facsimile has been received in error, please notify us immediately (call collect) and return the facsimile to us.

<b>CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION BY FACSIMILE (37 CFR 1.8)</b> Applicant(s): <u>Venegas, Jr.</u>			Docket No. <u>IDS-10505/14</u>	
Application No. <u>09/597,318</u>	Filing Date <u>June 19, 2000</u>	Examiner <u>W. Yip</u>	Group Art Unit <u>3635</u>	
Invention: <u>STANCHION SLEEVE AND METHOD OF USING SAME</u>			<b>RECEIVED</b> <b>CENTRAL FAX CENTER</b> <b>MAR 15 2007</b>	
<p>I hereby certify that this <u>Corrected Appeal Brief</u> (Identify type of correspondence) is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. <u>571/273-8300</u>) on <u>March 15, 2007</u> (Date)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Sheryl Hammer</u> (Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate)  (Signature)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.</p>				

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 15 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of: Venegas, Jr.

Serial No.: 09/597,318

Group No.: 3635

Filed: June 19, 2000

Examiner: W. Yip

For: STANCHION SLEEVE AND METHOD OF USING SAME

**APPELLANT'S SECOND CORRECTED APPEAL BRIEF**Mail Stop Appeal Brief  
Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed February 15, 2007,  
Appellant hereby submits its Corrected Appeal Brief.

**I. Real Party in Interest**

The real party and interest in this case is Frank Venegas, Jr., Applicant and Appellant.

**II. Related Appeals and Interferences**

There are no appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have  
a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

**III. Status of Claims**

The present application was filed with 2 claims. Claims 3-6 were added by amendment in  
October 2001; claim 7 was added by amendment in September 2002. Claims 5 and 7 were canceled by  
amendment in November 2003. Claims 1-4 and 6 were canceled, and claims 8-15 were added by  
amendment in September 2004. Claim 15 was canceled by amendment in February 2005. Claims 13-  
14 are being canceled by an after-final amendment attached hereto. Claims 8-12 are pending, rejected  
and under appeal. Claim 8 is the sole independent claim.

245) 647-6000  
49697-7021 TROY, MICHIGAN 48067-7021  
TROY CENTER DR., SUITE 330, P.O. BOX 7021  
2701 TROY CENTER DR., SUITE 330, P.O. BOX 7021  
ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C.  
GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C.

Serial No. 09/597,318

- 2 -

71503sh

**IV. Status of Amendments Filed Subsequent Final Rejection**

An after-final amendment is attached hereto, wherein claim 8 has been amended, and claims 13-14 have been canceled. These amendments are reflected in the claims in the Appendix A, Claims on Appeal section of this Brief.

**V. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter**

Independent claim 8 resides in a cover 14 for a post extending upwardly from a ground surface, the cover consisting of: an elongated, generally cylindrical plastic sleeve having an open lower end 24 and a closed, hemispherically shaped upper end 26. The sleeve has a consistent wall thickness including the hemispherically shaped upper end, and an interior diameter in the range of 3 to 8 inches. (Specification, page 4, lines 13-14; page 6, line 15 to page 8, line 14; Figures 5-9)

**VI. Grounds of Objection/Rejection To Be Reviewed On Appeal**

1. The rejection of claims 8-9 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,319,328 to Finger et al.
2. The rejection of claims 8 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 2,450,345 to Kervin.
3. The rejection of claims 8 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,516,756 to Beatty in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,299,883 to Arth, Jr.
4. The rejection of claims 9 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 2,450,345 to Kervin, or U.S. Patent No. 4,516,756 to Beatty, as applied to claim 8, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,299,883 to Arth, Jr.

**VII. Argument****A. The 35 U.S.C. §102(b) Rejection of Claim 8, Wherein Claims 9 and 12 Stand/Fall with Claim 8.**

Claim 8 is directed to a cover for a post ... *consisting of:*

"an elongated, generally cylindrical plastic sleeve having an open lower end and a closed, hemispherically shaped upper end; and

Serial No. 09/597,318

- 3 -

71503sh

the sleeve having a consistent wall thickness including the hemispherically shaped upper end, and an interior diameter in the range of 3 to 8 inches."

The transitional phrase "consisting of" excludes any element, step, or ingredient not specified in the claim. *In re Gray*, 53 F.2d 520, 11 USPQ 255 (CCPA 1931); *Ex parte Davis*, 80 USPQ 448, 450 (Bd. App. 1948) ("consisting of" defined as "closing the claim to the inclusion of materials other than those recited except for impurities ordinarily associated therewith.").

Claims 8 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,319,328 to Finger et al. However, among other potential differences, Finger does not disclose a hemispherically shaped upper end. Rather, the top portion shown in Figures 6 and 13 is conical. "In the event that the utility pole 31 (FIG. 3) has a conical cut or step 32 we provide a corresponding conical configuration 33 for the protective cap 34 shown in FIG. 6." (Finger, 2:59-62) Anticipation may be established only when a single prior art reference discloses, expressly or under principles of inherency, each and every element of a claimed invention. RCA Corp. v. Applied Digital Data Systems, 730 F.2d 1440, 1444, 221 USPQ 385, 388 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Moreover, anticipation requires the presence of all elements of a claimed invention as arranged in the claim, such that a disclosure "that 'almost' meets that standard does not 'anticipate'." Connell v. Sears, Roebuck Co., 722 F.2d 1542, 1548, 220 USPQ 193, 198 (Fed. Cir. 1983). Since a cone is not a hemisphere, anticipation has not been established.

B. The 35 U.S.C. §103(a) Rejection of claims 8 and 13, Wherein Claim 13 Stands/Falls with Claim 8.

Claim 8 also stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 2,450,345 to Kervin. However, Kervin does not disclose a hemispherically shaped upper end (it is also conical), and Kervin teaches other essential components, namely, engaging members 18. Rejections based on §103 must rest on a factual basis with these facts being interpreted without hindsight reconstruction of the invention from the prior art. The examiner may not, because of doubt that the invention is patentable, resort to speculation, unfounded assumption or hindsight reconstruction to supply deficiencies in the factual basis for the rejection. See *In re Warner*, 379 F.2d 1011, 1017, 154 USPQ 173, 177 (CCPA 1967), cert. denied, 389 U.S. 1057 (1968). Even if it were obvious to modify Kervin according to the Examiner's prescription, it would not be obvious to remove the engaging members 18 as they are "essential."

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 15 2007

Serial No. 09/597,318

- 4 -

71503sh

(Kervin, 2:10-15) Accordingly, *prima facie* obviousness has not been established.C. The 35 U.S.C. §103(a) Rejection of claims 8-11, Wherein Claims 9-11 Stand/Fall with Claim 8.

Claims 8 further stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 4,516,756 to Beatty in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,299,883 to Arth, Jr. The Examiner acknowledges that Beatty does not disclose a hemispherical top, but again argues that it would have been obvious to combine Beatty with Arth, Jr. "as an obvious matter of design choice." However, given that Beatty is intended to utilize a top which is conformal to flat cuts made at the top of a post, there is nothing obvious about the conversion of a flat top to a hemispherical top, since there are no hemispherically-topped posts disclosed in the '756 patent. Moreover, even if the Examiner's proposed combination were legitimate, the combination includes other essential components, namely, the strap 12 and interlocking inner flared lip of Arth, Jr. Accordingly, *prima facie* obviousness has not been established.

Conclusion

In conclusion, for the arguments of record and the reasons set forth above, all pending claims of the subject application continue to be in condition for allowance and Appellant seeks the Board's concurrence at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

John G. Posa  
Reg. No. 37,424  
Gifford, Krass, Sprinkle, Anderson &  
Citkowski, P.C.  
PO Box 7021  
Troy, MI 48007-7021  
Tel. (734) 913-9300 Fax (734) 913-6007

Date: March 15, 2007

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Serial No. 09/597,318

- 5 -

MAR 15 2007

71503sh

APPENDIX A  
CLAIMS ON APPEAL

8. A cover for a post extending upwardly from a ground surface, the cover consisting of: an elongated, generally cylindrical plastic sleeve having an open lower end and a closed, hemispherically shaped upper end; and the sleeve having a consistent wall thickness including the hemispherically shaped upper end, and an interior diameter in the range of 3 to 8 inches.

9. The cover of claim 8, wherein the plastic is polyethylene.

10. The cover of claim 8, wherein the plastic is polycarbonite.

11. The cover of claim 8, the sleeve further including an agent to provide a durable coloring throughout.

12. The cover of claim 8, the sleeve further including an additive to resist ultraviolet deterioration.

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CIKOWSKI, P.C. 2701 TROY CENTER DR., SUITE 330, P.O. BOX 7021 TROY, MICHIGAN 48067-7021 (248) 617-6000

Serial No. 09/597,318

- 6 -

71503sh

APPENDIX B

EVIDENCE

None.

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C. 2701 TROY CENTER DR., SUITE 335, P.O. BOX 7021 TROY, MICHIGAN 48067-7021 (248) 647-6000



Serial No. 09/597,318

- 7 -

71503sh

APPENDIX C  
RELATED PROCEEDINGS

None.

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CIKOWSKI, P.C. 2701 TROY CENTER DR., SUITE 330, P.O. BOX 7021 TROY, MICHIGAN 48067-7021 (248) 617-6000